

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/712,850	11/13/2003	Donald L. Durden	1857-ARTI.0024US-CON	8658
110 7	7590 09/27/2006	EXAMINER		
DANN, DOR	FMAN, HERRELL & S	YU, MISOOK		
1601 MARKE	T STREET		ART UNIT	DADED MIMBED
SUITE 2400			AKTONII	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPH	HA, PA 19103-2307	1642		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/712,8	50	DURDEN, DONALD L.				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		міѕоок	YU, Ph.D.	1642				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	tion appears on th	e cover sheet with	h the correspondence a	ddress			
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF TI 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ex- cation. ory period will apply and v by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNIC, yent, however, may a reprint expire SIX (6) MONTI blication to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 03 February 20	04.					
2a)□		b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for	allowance except	for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to th	ne merits is			
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 91-119 is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
,,,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
-	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>91-119</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or election	requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the E	ivaminor						
′	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		N□ objected to b	y the Everniner				
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	•	•	` ,	CED 1 121(d)			
11)[]	The oath or declaration is objected to by			· ·	, ,			
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	THE EXAMINION IV	sio ino attaonoa	omec Action of Torm?	10-102.			
	•	famina anianika	d0511000	440(=) (4) (6)				
	 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
a)								
	application from the International	· -		eceived in this Nationa	ii Stage			
* <	See the attached detailed Office action for	•	·	aceived				
`	see the attached detailed office action to	5. a not 0. a16 0611	mod copies not le	oogiveu.				
A440.ab	*/a\			•				
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		A) [] t-t t	(DTO 440)				
2) Notic	e of Carlesces Cited (P10-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-	-948)		mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	-	5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 91-114, drawn to method of treating cancer patient using a PTEN
 agonist, unclassifiable because the claims do not give any clues as to the
 structure of the agonist.
- II. Claims 115-119, drawn to method of treating cancer patient using a PTEN antagonist, unclassifiable because the claims do not give any clues as to the structure of the antagonist.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions, the two methods use active ingredients with the opposite functions, agonist vs. antagonist.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: chemotherapeutic agents in claim 94 and 100, 106 for group I; different cell type in claim 119 for group II. The species are independent or distinct because the different.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MISOOK YU, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0839. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 A.M. to 5:30 P.M., every other Friday off.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,850 Page 5

Art Unit: 1642

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Siew can be reached on 571-272-0787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MISOOK YU, Ph.D. Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1642